

Per a phone conversation with Phil Norris on April 13, 2018, Engineering has the following revised comments:

Plans

1. C2/C3: Please label the drainage easements as public or private. The drainage esmt. along the northern property line is to be public and the drainage esmt. along the pond outlet pipe is to be private. Label the 30 and 31 foot access easements as private. Label the 10' utility easement along CBR as private. **Norris & Tunstall will update the easements accordingly.**
2. C3: Why wouldn't the entrance stub for the cross-connection be constructed now instead of when the adjacent property develops? **N&T provided sufficient information to negate this comment.**
3. C4: Realignment of sidewalk to avoid existing SSMH: the City has a standard policy that does not allow for manholes (and other structures) to be placed in sidewalks or driveways due to the potential for differential settlement between structures or the displacement of covers that may create a tripping hazard. Also these structures present challenges for proper jointing of concrete slabs. While the sidewalk will be in the NCDOT r/w, the City will maintain the sidewalk. I request again that the sidewalk swerve toward the road just enough to avoid the SSMH. Since your response stated that NCDOT prefers the sidewalk where it is currently shown, will you please forward to the City the correspondence from NCDOT that states this for our files? **N&T will realign the sidewalk if NCDOT does not have any objections. If NCDOT does have objections, N&T will provide to the City in writing said objection from NCDOT.**
4. C7: The sidewalk detail is missing linework. Please let me know if this is something that is occurring with the download from the City website. **A PDF of the City sidewalk detail was provided to N&T and will replace the current detail in the plan set.**
5. **Engineering will have no objection to the issuance of the construction release once the sidewalk detail is replaced and the sidewalk/SSMH issue is resolved.**