



Planning, Development and Transportation

Transportation Planning 305 Chestnut Street PO Box 1810 Wilmington, NC 28402-1810

910 341-3258 910 341-7801 fax www.wilmingtonnc.gov Dial 711 TTY/Voice

DATE: 11.08.2018

TO: ProTrak

FROM: Bill McDow

Transportation Planning

WOODLANDS AT ECHO TRACT 5 [TRC Plan Review #4] SRB PLAN

> Initial Review Note >

All comments are based on adopted policy documents, specific City of Wilmington Land Development Code (CofW LDC) and City of Wilmington Technical Standards and Specifications Manual (CofWTSSM) requirements in effect at the time of this review. These have been noted after the comment as appropriate for your ease in further research.

The City Engineer may administratively approve dimensional variance requests per LDC Sec. 18-348. If the Applicant feels further interpretation is in order, please contact Transportation Planning directly to discuss in additional detail. Please apply for all administrative variances in writing, an email is acceptable or this may be included on the plan set. Please see Sec. 18-348 of the Land Development Code for the information required to process a variance request.

TECHNICAL STANDARDS – NEW ROADS:

- 1. The proposed intersection of McCarley Blvd at Levon Way does not have the necessary traffic islands to permit a left out of the intersection, while preventing left turns from McCarley Blvd. Please provide the traffic islands for the site.
- The proposed street cross section shows a pavement structure that does not meet city technical standards for Standard Detail 3-01 which requires a minimum of 3" of asphalt. [Detail SD 3-01 CofW Technical Standards]

TECHNICAL STANDARDS – ACCESS (driveway, sidewalk, and sight distance):

- 3. Please label existing and proposed sidewalk on subdivision streets.
- The proposed street cross section does not meet the standard for plaza width. A variance may be requested for the plaza width. [page 7-4, Minimum Street Standards, CofW Technical Standards]

Please let me know if you have any questions or if I can be of further assistance as this development moves through the review process.